UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Broderick J. Hunt, **Plaintiff** v. Department of Defense, Defendant

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00099-JAD-VCF

Order Adopting
Report & Recommendation,
Denying Motions,
and Dismissing Case

[ECF Nos. 1, 2, 6]

Pro se plaintiff Broderick J. Hunt has filed two Motions for Order Pursuant to Customer Challenge Provisions of the Right to Financial Privacy Act of 1978. However, Hunt did not pay the court's required filing fee to initiate this action or serve a copy of his motion on the government, so the court gave him until February 27, 2019, to do so. The court warned Hunt that his failure to take that action would result in a recommendation that his motions be denied. Hunt failed to pay the filing fee or provide proof of service, so Magistrate Judge Ferenbach recommends that I deny his motions and close this case. Objections to that report and recommendation were due March 18, 2019, and Hunt has neither filed objections nor moved to extend the deadline to do so. "[N]o review is required of a magistrate judge's report and

¹ ECF Nos. 1, 2.

² ECF No. 5.

3 Id.

27 | 4 ECF No. 6.

1	recommendation unless objections are filed." Having reviewed the report and recommendation
2	I find good cause to adopt it, and I do.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and
4	Recommendation [ECF No. 6] is ADOPTED in its entirety. All pending motions [ECF Nos.
5	1, 2] are DENIED . The Clerk of Court is directed to DISMISS and CLOSE THIS CASE.
6	Dated: March 19, 2019
7	U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	⁵ Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003); see also Thomas v. Arn, 47-U.S. 140, 150 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003).